

Improvements to the low-resolution Met Office Hadley Centre Sea-Surface Temperature Data Set: HadSST John Kennedy, Chris Atkinson, David Parker, Nick Rayner 20 July 2016, MARCDAT-IV, Southampton

102



**Hadley Centre** 

### HadSST.3.1.1.0

Sea Surface Temperature Anomalies HadSST.3.1.1.0 median March 2015











### **Basic upgrades**

- Quality Control recoded in Python.
  - Climatology checks
  - Buddy check reimplemented
  - Repeated value checks
  - Track checks
  - Some IQUAM checks: track and spike
  - Improved Kill File
- Gridding
  - Gridding and uncertainty estimation integrated so that uncertainties are propagated correctly
  - Improved estimates of sampling uncertainty



## More selective use of data sources

- Still using ICOADS 2.5 (because it's awesome)
- Excluding Deck 780 World Ocean Database
- Excluding CMAN stations
- Excluding ad hoc moorings





### SST Benchmarks for QC

#### Met Office



#### Failure modes

- Utterly scrambled
- Factors of ten
- Mysterious zeroes
- Scale transformations  $F^{\circ} \rightarrow C^{\circ}$
- Large Gaussian error
- Badship

#### **Parameters**

- Fraction of bad obs
- Fraction of rounded obs
- Measurement error uncertainty
- Distribution shape
- Ship microbias uncertainty





### **Estimating Biases**

www.metoffice.gov.uk

© Crown copyright





#### Timeline of Measurement Methods





### Buoys as baseline

- Now have good evidence that drifters are a reasonable reference data set
- Previous version of HadSST used ships, which increases uncertainty

$$B_{tr} = \frac{B_r \left(1 - \bar{f}_c\right) + \bar{f}_c B_c + \overline{f_e E} + \bar{f}_c D}{\left(1 - \bar{f}_r - \bar{f}_c\right)}$$

$$B_{tc} = \frac{B_c \left(1 - \bar{f}_r\right) + \bar{f}_r B_r + \overline{f_e E} + f_a D}{\left(1 - \bar{f}_r - \bar{f}_c\right)}$$



## Broader range of parameters to twiddle

- 1. Bucket uncertainty from Rayner et al. 2006
- 2. NMAT data set used to estimate bucket fractions
- 3. NMAT uncertainty
- Alternative bucket model Smith and Reynolds 2002
- 5. Adjustments for modern buckets
- 6. Metadata uncertainty
- 7. Transition from canvas to rubber
- 8. Unknown measurement types
- 9. ERI bias (BIG UNCERTAINTY)



## How are we going to estimate ERI bias?

- Use coarsely gridded SST data
- 5 degree latitude by 5 degree longitude monthly
- Model the global SST field as a multivariate Gaussian random field



### SST ~ N(0, C)

Met Office

© Crown copyright



## **C** - Spatial Covariance

 Spatial covariance a simple function of the zonal and meridional separation between points

- Construct spatially-varying length scale and variance
- Using method of Karspeck et al. 2012

Karspeck, A. R., Kaplan, A. and Sain, S. R. (2012), Bayesian modelling and ensemble reconstruction of mid-scale spatial variability in North Atlantic sea-surface temperatures for 1850–2008. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc., 138: 234–248. doi: 10.1002/qj.900







### **Spatial Covariance**







## How are we going to estimate ERI bias?

### $R\left(HCH^{T}+R\right)^{-1}$

DATA

Estimate of error

The really nice thing about R is that you can break it down into separate components: individual ships, all German ships, all ships using a particular measurement system



Error covariance (R)

#### Observation = SST + a bunch of error terms



### Error covariance (R)

Errors which affect each measurement differently

Observation = SST + Uncorrelated error











### Two step assimilation of data

- 1. Make spatial covariance
- 2. Assimilate buoy data
- 3. Update mean and covariance
- 4. Assimilate Engine Room data
- 5. Estimate macro and micro bias terms



### Compare ship data to reliable satellite estimates



SST retrievals from the Along Track Scanning Radiometer instruments as processed in the ARC project (ATSR Reanalysis for Climate)

Merchant, C. J., et al. (2012), A 20 year independent record of sea surface temperature for climate from Along-Track Scanning Radiometers, J. Geophys. Res., 117, C12013, doi:<u>10.1029/2012JC008400.</u>









#### Summary

Towards HadSST.3.2.0.0

- Updated QC with benchmarks
- Wider parameter range in bias adjustments
- Improved engine room bias estimation

• Aim to update with ICOADS 3.0



### **Questions?**



www.metoffice.gov.uk



### **Bucket models**











# Testing the method

- Withhold data
- Reconstruct field based
  on remainder
- Draw samples from the posterior distribution (grey shading)
- Compare to actual deviations (coloured lines)
- Three separate tests





• Compare to other estimates of ship biases

• IQUAM uses a combined satellite and in situ background field to estimate ship biases



In situ SST Quality Monitor (iQuam)Feng Xu and Alexander Ignatov. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology 2014 31:1, 164-180













Modern data (post 1982) consistent with accurate metadata