Estimating Uncertainty of Historical SST Analyses by a Cross Validation Technique

Yoshikazu Fukuda *

Masayoshi Ishii **

Shoji Hirahara * * CPD/JMA, ** FRCGC/JAMSTEC









- 1. Overview of the next COBE
- 2. Uncertainty estimation studies for the next COBE
 - Sampling error by Cross validation
 - Analysis error by measuring sensitivity of analysis parameters
- 3. Conclusion & Future plan

- Overview of the next COBE
- Uncertainty estimation studies for the next COBE
 - Sampling error by Cross validation
 - Analysis error by measuring sensitivity of analysis parameters
- 3. Conclusion & Future plan



Introduction of Satellite data



- Overview of the next COBE
- Uncertainty estimation studies for the next COBE
 - Sampling error by Cross validation
 - Analysis error by measuring sensitivity of analysis parameters
- 3. Conclusion & Future plan

Estimating Uncertainty

•There are differences among SST analyses especially before 1950.





- Overview of the next COBE
 Uncertainty estimation studies for the next COBE
 - Sampling error by Cross validation
 - Analysis error by measuring sensitivity of analysis parameters
- 3. Conclusion & Future plan

Aim of the Cross Validation





Result - Global Mean

- We can reproduce global mean SSTs by OI after the 1890s.
- It may be difficult to calculate "accurate" global mean SSTs by OI before the 1860s.
- Reconstruction improves the reproducibility.

Monthly Mean of OI analysis

Reconstructed analysis



Result - El Nino Reproducibility

Pseudo analysis November 1997



- 1. Overview of the next COBE
- 2. Uncertainty estimation studies for the next COBE
 - Sampling error by Cross validation
 - Analysis error by measuring sensitivity of analysis parameters
- 3. Conclusion & Future plan

Another Attempt – Sensitivity of analysis parameters

Experiment A: Adding random noise to observations Make 8 ensemble members by adding random noise to observations.

Experiment B: Changing analysis parameters



Make 9 ensemble members by using 3 patterns of analysis parameter (related to decorrelation scale) over adding 3 sets of random noise to observations.

Result of Exp A Adding random noise to observations

• At some points, large difference between SSTA and mean. result of QC \rightarrow → a measure of uncertainty

• Spread is larger in data sparse periods.



Result of Exp B

Changing analysis parameters

- In fewer observation area differences are relatively large.
 - more susceptible to individual observations.
- In low latitudes spreads are relatively small.

→ too strong filtering?



Conclusion & Future plan

For the next COBE

Approaches & Conclusions

- Introducing satellite data
- Estimating Uncertainty by Cross Validation
 - Global mean SST can be reproduced 1890s observation distribution
 - 1930s observation distribution reproduce SST anomalies well.
 - by measuring the sensitivity of analysis parameters
 - Analysis results are depend on stochasticity of observations or analysis parameters.
- Future plan
- Cross Validation using satellite data
- Other patterns to make ensemble members

...and other improvements

